

March 2, 1976

of Nebraska should they desire to do this because they are a constitutional office. Now, I probably have to ask this of someone from the Budget Committee or the Retirement Committee or someone who is knowledgeable in the field. I will start with anyone from the budget committee who may know the railway commission, the Supreme Court or the University of Nebraska, is there any difference in how they handle their insurance programs or their retirement? Anyone from the budget committee if they can answer this.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stull, do you want to respond?

SENATOR STULL: Yes, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I will respond to that. We have a good many different retirement systems. We have had under discussion nearly two years a bill that proposed to make a uniform system, putting everyone under one group. Now the judges and that....that was the first program that was passed in the way of a retirement and that is a part from the other retirement bills...or sections. They are financed a little differently too. Several years ago, I think that it was the first session that I was down here that the Senators from the districts that represented the State Colleges proposed a retirement plan, and later the University came under that and that is different than the rest of them. We have several. We have one for the patrolmen and the firemen and when you try and put this thing all in one package, you have so many variables that it is difficult to cope with. Now, our judges, I think that when they come on the bench, they come on at a later age, older, and I think that that age is somewhere around 46, as I remember, your patrolmen and safety employees, firemen, they start younger. They want to retire earlier. The retirement age for the safety employees is at 55 now with provisions to retire at 50.

SENATOR DUIS: Thank you Senator Stull. Now, could you tell me anything about their insurance programs? Their Health Insurance? Are they all identical to everyone elses?

SENATOR STULL: Senator Duis, I would have to have a little time. I don't think that I would want to attempt to do that right off the top of my head.

SENATOR DUIS: This is the point Senator Stull that I am trying to get at here. All of a sudden we are trying to make all state employees alike. I think that we have all of these different categories and different categories of employees and employers. I am at loss here at times to figure out as to who is an employee and who is an employer. Apparently a head of a department isn't an employer anymore when he hires people he is an employee....the...they employees of his are the employee of an employee. I'm....it is just a little hard to fathom out here as to what you call an employee and an employer. What I am interested in knowing and if anyone could get this for me, I would appreciate it, and that is whether or not all of the state employees including those of the constitutional offices such as the University of Nebraska, the Railway Commission, the Supreme Court and those that are Constitutional, do they all have the same health program like we are trying to iron out here, and I would sincerely hope that this bill might not just bounce along on its merry way until we get some answers. You know, I used too, and I still